

[Click Here](#)



the column to serve as the eluant for the second fraction of unknown. The stopcock was opened, and once the second colored band reached the bottom of the column, this fraction was collected in beaker #2 until the column ran white again. The silica gel column was then dried and cleaned. The liquids collected in beakers #1 and #2 were dried and then the mass of each beaker with crystals formed inside was taken to calculate the yield of each compound. There was approximately 75 mg of each unknown in the original mixture. Melting point and TLC analysis of each separated solid was performed as well. For TLC analysis, a small crystal of each unknown was dissolved in .5 ml ethyl acetate and then separated with an eluant consisting of 4ml hexane and 1ml ethyl acetate. Rf values of each plate were measured as well. Possible unknowns for the column separation and their melting points are as follows: Discussion of Results Figure 1: TLC Rf Values Rf,1 = Farthest traveled spot, Rf,1 = Shortest traveled spot Mixture Rf,1 Rf,2 Rf,3 Rf,4 Notes Pure hexane .33 .22 N/A N/A 2 spots present 5 ml hexane : 5 ml ethyl acetate .83 .61 .28 .11 4 spots present 4 ml hexane : 1 ml ethyl acetate .9 .85 .6 .3 4 spots present 3 ml hexane : 2 ml ethyl acetate .91 .77 .54 N/A 3 spots present Pure ethyl acetate .86 .81 .77 N/A 3 spots present Pure diethyl ether .95 .9 .8 N/A 3 spots present Pure dichloromethane .96 .9 .8 N/A 3 spots present For our purposes, the best solvent mixture will have spotting of all four compounds present, as well as a wide range of Rf values. Choosing a correct eluant solvent is a fine line walked between choosing a solvent polar enough to carry the compounds up the TLC plate, while not being so polar that it does not allow the compounds to adhere to the TLC plate. In this case, hexane represents the nonpolar part of the solvent, while ethyl acetate represents the polar component. Adjusting the ratio of these two compounds on the eluant mixture allows the separation to be fine tuned for maximum efficiency. According to the data displayed in Figure 1, as the concentration of ethyl acetate increases in the eluant mixture, in general the measured Rf values increase. This indicates that the more polar solvent mixture is carrying the compounds further up the TLC plate, increasing the Rf value by making the distance the solvent travels and the distance the spots travel closer to each other. By the same logic, the higher the concentration of hexane in the eluant mixture, the lower the Rf values become as the more non-polar solvent pulls compounds a lesser distance up the TLC plate. Out of all tested solvent mixtures for elution, 5 ml hexane : .5 ml ethyl acetate and 4 ml hexane : 1 ml ethyl acetate both led to the development of 4 spots on the TLC plate, one of the criterion for an acceptable TLC solvent mixture. Additionally, the 5 ml hexane : .5 ml ethyl acetate mixture had the widest range of Rf values, indicating it to be the best choice of eluant. In order to evaluate the identity of each spot on the TLC plate, we must examine the polarities of each compound in our mixture. On a TLC plate, the spot with the smallest Rf value is the most polar, as it is attracted most strongly to the silica plate and travels the shortest distance because of this. Following this logic, for the 5 ml hexane : .5 ml ethyl acetate mixture: Rf,4: para-nitroaniline Rf,3: ortho-nitroaniline Rf,2: Benzophenone Rf,1: Azobenzene (in decreasing Polarity) Figure 2: Column Chromatography Unknown % Yield MP TLC Rf Value Notes Identity #1 51mg/75mg = 68% 67-69°C .90 None Azobenzene #2 46mg/75mg = 61.3% 146-146°C .81 Some slight TLC spotting around Rf Value = .90 Para-nitroaniline Several types of analysis were applied to the samples separated from the column chromatography. Firstly, the yield of each sample was taken based on the knowledge that each compound was present in the original mixture with a mass of 75mg. This give a yield for unknown #1 of 68% and a yield of unknown #2 of 61.3%. Next, melting points of each unknown were taken. The melting point of unknown #1 was 67-69°C and the melting point of unknown #2 was 146-146°C. In order to determine the purity of each sample, TLC analysis of each sample was performed. Unknown #1's developed TLC plate showed one spot with an Rf value of .9 and unknown #2 showed one spot with an Rf value of .81 with slight spotting at an Rf value of .9. This indicates that there was some contamination of unknown #1 in the collected fraction of unknown #2. Unknown #1's melting point indicated its identity to be Azobenzene, while unknown #2's melting point indicated its identity to be para-nitroaniline. Calculations and Figures Column unknown #1 yield: 51mg/75mg = 68% Column unknown #2 yield: 46mg/75mg = 61.3% MP for unknown #1: 67-69°C MP for unknown #2: 146-146°C *Melting points obtained from Reaxys